Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xiang-Sheng Xu,^a Ya-Ping Lü,^b Dong-Shun Deng,^b Mao-Lin Hu^c and Zhi-Min Jin^b*

^aCollege of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, People's Republic of China, ^bCollege of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, People's Republic of China, and ^cSchool of Chemistry and Materials Science, Wenzhou Normal College, Zhejiang, Wenzhou 325027, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zimichem@sina.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 289 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.028 wR factor = 0.075 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. In the title compound, $[NiCl_2(C_7H_9N)_4]$, where C_7H_9N is 3,5lutidine, the Ni^{II} atom is coordinated by two Cl atoms and four N atoms from 3,5-lutidine groups. The geometry around the Ni^{II} atom, which is located at a special position of symmetry 422, is octahedral. Molecules of the title compound are

connected by C-H···Cl intermolecular hydrogen bonds and

 $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions to form a three-dimensional structure.

Tetrakis(3,5-lutidine)dichloronickel(II)

Received 29 March 2005 Accepted 11 April 2005 Online 16 April 2005

Comment

Various 3,5-lutidine compounds have been reported previously (Abu-Youssef *et al.*,1999; Bolte *et al.*, 2000; Carmalt *et al.*, 2000; Goher *et al.*, 1997; Hu & Englert, 2002; Maunder & Sella, 1998; Minghetti *et al.*, 1998; Modec *et al.*, 2000; Nogai *et al.*, 2003; Nyman *et al.*, 1997; Tessier & Rochon, 2001; van Poppel *et al.*, 2001; Vries *et al.*, 2001). We have synthesized the title compound, (I), and report its structure here.



In (I), the Ni^{II} atom is coordinated octahedrally by four 3,5lutidine ligands through four N atoms and two Cl atoms (Fig. 1). The Ni^{II} atom is located at a special position of symmetry 422. The dihedral angle between the plane of each pyridine ring and the N₄ plane is 46.1 (2)°. The geometrical outline of (I) resembles a screw propeller; a similar feature is also observed in an ytterbium compound, $(3,5-lutidine)_4$ YbI₂ (Maunder & Sella 1998). The two axial positions are filled by two Cl atoms.

There are five kinds of $C-H\cdots Cl$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2), with $H\cdots Cl$ distances shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii [r(H) 1.16 (Zefirov & Zorkii, 1974) and 1.2 Å (Bondi, 1964); r(Cl) 1.90 Å (Zefirov & Zorkii, 1974)]. A $C-H\cdots \pi$ interaction [H4 $B\cdots C_p(-x, 1 - y, -z) =$ 3.299 (3) Å, where C_p is the centroid of the pyridine ring] plays a minor role in the crystal structure (Fig. 2).

Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Acta Cryst. (2005). E61, m889–m891

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography



Figure 1

The formula unit with atom labels, showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by a hydrothermal procedure from a mixture of 3,5-lutidine (5 mmol, 0.53 g), NiCl₂·6H₂O (1 mmol, 0.24 g) and water (30 ml) in a 30 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor. The mixture was heated to 415 K for 3 d. The reaction system was then slowly cooled to room temperature. Green block-shaped crystals of (I), with a yield of 76% based on 3,5-lutidine, were collected and washed with distilled water. IR (KBr): 3583, 3391, 3267, 2922, 2846, 1708, 1445, 1416, 1331, 1135, 1049, 1028 cm⁻¹.

Crystal data

$[NiCl_2(C_7H_9N)_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	
$M_r = 558.20$	Cell parameters from 29	
Tetragonal, P4/nnc	reflections	
a = 11.583 (1) Å	$\theta = 2.6-13.0^{\circ}$	
c = 10.747 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.88 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	
V = 1441.8 (2) Å ³	T = 289 (2) K	
Z = 2	Block, green	
$D_e = 1.286$ Mg m ⁻³	$0.38 \times 0.38 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}$	
Data collection		
Siemens P4 diffractometer	$R_{int} = 0.025$	
ω scans	$\theta_{max} = 26.5^{\circ}$	
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$h = 0 \rightarrow 14$	
(North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	$k = 0 \rightarrow 14$	
$T_{\min} = 0.723, T_{\max} = 0.781$	$l = -1 \rightarrow 13$	
1894 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	
757 independent reflections	every 97 reflections	
502 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	intensity decay: 4.2%	
Refinement Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$ $wR(F^2) = 0.075$ S = 0.91 757 reflections 45 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0408P)^{2}]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL97	



Figure 2 A packing diagram, viewed along the *c* axis.

Table 1Selected bond lengths (Å).

Ni-N	2.136 (2)	C1-C2	1.383 (3)
Ni-Cl	2.4523 (11)	C2-C3	1.387 (3)
N-C1	1.336 (2)	C2-C4	1.499 (3)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	Н∙∙∙А	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C1−H1···Cl	0.93	2.90	3.361 (3)	112

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.93 Å for pyridyl CH groups and C-H = 0.96 Å for CH₃ groups) and allowed to ride on the parent atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1998); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

References

359

Extinction coefficient: 0.0049 (11)

- Abu-Youssef, M. A. M., Escuer, A., Gatteschi, D., Goher, M. A. S., Mautner, F. A. & Vicente, R. (1999). *Inorg. Chem.* 38, 5716–5723.
- Bolte, M., Hensen, K. & Spangenberg, B. (2000). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 30, 245–249.
- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- Carmalt, C. J., Mileham, J. D., White, A. J. P. & Williams, D. J. (2000). New J. Chem. 24, 929–930.
- Goher, M. A. S., A1-Salem, N. A. & Mautner, F. A. (1997). Polyhedron, 16, 3747–3755.
- Hu, C. H. & Englert, U. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 20-25.
- Maunder, G. H. & Sella, A. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 63-68.
- Minghetti, G., Cinellu, M. A., Pinna, M. V., Stoccoro, S., Zucca, A. & Manassero, M. (1998). J. Organomet. Chem. 568, 225–232.
- Modec, B., Brenëië, J. V., Golië, L. & Daniels, L. M. (2000). Polyhedron, 19, 1407–1414.

Nogai, S., Schriewer, A. & Schmidbaur, H. (2003). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 3165–317. North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* A24, 351–

Nyman, M. D., Hampden-Smith, M. J. & Duesler, E. N. (1997). *Inorg. Chem.* **36**, 2218–2224.

H-atom parameters constrained

- Poppel, L. G. van, Bott, S. G. & Barron, A. R. (2001). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 31, 417–420.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany. Siemens (1994). XSCANS. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc.,
- Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1998). SHELXTL. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Tessier, C. & Rochon, F. D. (2001). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 322, 37-46.
- Vries, E., Nassimbeni, L. R. & Su, H. (2001). Eur. J. Org. Chem. pp. 1887–1892.
- Zefirov, Yu. V. & Zorkii, P. M. (1974). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 15, 118-122.